UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS WESTERN DIVISION

,))
Plaintiff(s),) Case No
vs.) Magistrate Judge Lisa A. Jensen)
Defendant(s).))
PARTIES' PROPOSE	ED CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER
, and was at	a meeting was held in on ttended by: for Plaintiff(s), and for Defendant(s)
II. <u>Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) Disclosures</u> w requires full and proper Rule 26(a)(1) disc	ill be exchanged by The Court closures by all parties.
read the Pamphlet governing the court's their respective clients the available dispuentities, and that counsel have given an ewith the litigation of this matter, through their clients an estimate of the fees and early successful mediation. Counsel certiwith their clients and have considered hot this is a fee shifting case, defense course.	Liation. Counsel hereby certify that their clients have is mediation program, that counsel have discussed with atte resolution options provided by the Court and private estimation of the fees and costs that would be associated trial, to their clients. Further, counsel have provided to expenses reasonably expected to be incurred through an ify that they have discussed the available ADR options ow this case might benefit from those options. Lastly, if ansel certify they have discussed the advantages and after of judgment. The failure to comply with these Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(c),(f).
Parties have agreed on mediation. mediator. The parties believe the larequest the matter be referred to m	has been chosen as the best time to mediate would be and nediation at that time.
Parties request an immediate settle	ement conference with the Magistrate Judge.
Parties plan to utilize private ADF	₹.
Parties request this case be excuse	ed from ADR.

۸)			g discovery plan:
A)	Discovery will be need	led on the following subjects:	
B)	Maximum of	interrogatories by each party to any or	ther party.
C)	Maximum of	requests for admission by each party t	to any other party.
D)	Maximum of	depositions by Plaintiff(s) and	by Defendant(s
		than of hours unless extended by agree	
con	-	arties to amend pleadings, add counts or par (should be no <i>later</i> the	<u>-</u>
G)	` ' '	(c) disclosures are due by	
(she	cumstances, the Court co	days before the close of fact discovery). A possiders treating physicians to be Rule 26(a clicited from the physicians.	
(she circ	cumstances, the Court conion testimony will be e	onsiders treating physicians to be Rule 26(a))(2)(C) witnesses if ner, but no later than
(she circ opin	cumstances, the Court conion testimony will be e	onsiders treating physicians to be Rule 26(a) clicited from the physicians. er Rule 26(e) will be made in a timely manual content of the physicians.)(2)(C) witnesses if ner, but no later than
(she circ opin	cumstances, the Court conion testimony will be e Supplementations undecovery).	onsiders treating physicians to be Rule 26(a) clicited from the physicians. er Rule 26(e) will be made in a timely manual content of the physicians.	ner, but no later than efore the close of fact
(she circ opin H) disc	covery). Covery cut-off	onsiders treating physicians to be Rule 26(a) elicited from the physicians. er Rule 26(e) will be made in a timely manual (should be no <i>later</i> than 30 days be	ner, but no later than efore the close of fact
(she circ opin H) disc	covery). Covery cut-off	onsiders treating physicians to be Rule 26(a) elicited from the physicians. er Rule 26(e) will be made in a timely manual (should be no <i>later</i> than 30 days be is set for	ner, but no later than efore the close of fact
(she circ opin H) disc	covery). Covery cut-off	onsiders treating physicians to be Rule 26(a) elicited from the physicians. er Rule 26(e) will be made in a timely manual (should be no <i>later</i> than 30 days be is set for	ner, but no later than efore the close of fact

K)	All dispositive motions are due by	_ (should be no <i>later</i>
than	30 days after the close of fact discovery, unless otherwise ordered by	the Court).
L)	Counsel may not stipulate to extend discovery matters, including	depositions, beyond
date	es already set in this case management order.	
M)	These dates will not be amended absent a showing of good cause.	The parties
und	erstand that motions for extensions of time should be brought as s	oon as possible, but
at a	minimum before the cut-off date, and a party's failure to do so run	ns the serious risk
that	the motion will be denied.	
N)	The parties suggest the next discovery conference with the Court be	

V. Electronically Stored Information.

Electronically stored information that can reasonably be anticipated to be relevant to the litigation will be preserved. The primary source of electronically stored information for production should be active data and information used in the ordinary course of business.

(must be on the Court's regular status call held Mondays and Wednesdays).

For the Court to order a search, the requesting party will need to demonstrate that the need and relevancy of the material outweigh the cost and burden of retrieving and processing the electronically stored information from such sources, including the disruption of business and the information management activities.

When balancing the cost, burden, and need for electronically stored information, the Court and the parties will apply the proportionality standards embodied in Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(b)(1) and (b)(2)(C), as well as consider the technological feasibility and realistic costs of preserving, retrieving, reviewing, and producing electronically stored information.

Counsel should review the helpful information found at www.discoverypilot.com including the proposed Discovery Plan for Electronically Stored Information for guidance.

VI. Claims of Privilege or of Protection

The parties shall detail below any agreements reached for asserting claims of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material after information is produced, including whether they seek entry of their agreement as an order under Federal Rule of Evidence 502. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(3)(B)(iv) and 26(f).

Absent any specific agreement reached by the parties, the following provisions will apply:

1) The production of privileged or work-product protected documents, electronically stored information ("ESI") or information, whether inadvertent or otherwise, is not a waiver of the privilege or protection from discovery in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding.

Case: 3:19-cv-50229 Document #: 14 Filed: 11/18/19 Page 4 of 4 PageID #:34

This order shall be interpreted to provide the maximum protection allowed by Federal R	ule of
Evidence 502(d).	

2) Nothing contained herein is intended to or shall serve to limit a party's right to conduct
a review of documents, ESI or information (including metadata) for relevance, responsiveness
and/or segregation of privileged and/or protected information before production.

PLAINTIFF(S)	DEFENDANT(S)
By:	By:

Rev. 7/10/2019